

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/486,516 06/07/2000 **HEINZ REDL** BHV-317.01 5257 06/30/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND MELLER, MICHAEL V TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 1654

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/486,516	REDL ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael V. Meller	1654
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>12 April 2004</u> .	
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	-	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 29-73 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
	 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31,32,34,35,43-50,52,53 and 61-69 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 29,30,33,36-42,51,54-60 and 70-73 is/are rejected. 		
5)			
6)⊠			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	7	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	and the contract of the contra	(1)
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		oplication No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		·
	application from the International Bu		rooorvod iir ano ridaonar Olago
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
\ttaahma=	t(c)		
Attachment			
) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-802)	A) Intention C	ummary (DTO://12)
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/486,516

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The election of species of record is maintained for the reasons of record.

Claims 31, 32, 34, 35, 43-50, 52, 53 and 61-69 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 29, 30, 33, 36-42, 51, 54-60 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammarstrom et al. or Wadstrom in view of Robertson et al. or WO 92/22309 and further in view of Akinson et al.

Applicant argues that the references do not teach using the components for the same purpose but this is simply false. It is clear from the references themselves that they are all used for wound healing. Further applicant argues that the elastase inhibitor is not taught in Hammarstrom or Wadstrom. This is why the rejection under 35 USC 103.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,516

Art Unit: 1654

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The references teach that the components of the claimed composition are known individually in the art for the same purpose, namely, wound healing. Since this is true, the rejection is maintained.

Applicants argue that the term wound healing is a genus and that there are many different types of wound healing. The references each individually in the art show that the components are each individually known in the art for wound healing. Applicants comments stating that different types of wound healing are taking place in the references is not understood. The references each teach using the components for the same purpose, namely wound healing. Wound healing is wound healing. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the components together since they are known individually in the art for the same purpose, namely, wound healing.

Applicant next argues that there are unexpected results. After close inspection of the Examples and Figures it is clear that there simply are no unexpected results. Applicant directs the examiner to figures 3 and 4 and nowhere in these figures can such unexpected results be found. In fact, the best results seem to be when eglin is not used.

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654